[ORAL ARGUMENT SCHEDULED FOR DECEMBER 9, 2016] Nos. 15-1074, 15-1130

In the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit

Ampersand Publishing, LLC, D/B/A Santa Barbara News-Press, Petitioner/Cross-Respondent,

v.

NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD, Respondent/Cross-Petitioner,

Graphics Communications Conference of the International Brotherhood of Teamsters

Intervenor for Respondent/Cross-Respondent

On Petition for Review of an Order of the National Labor Relations Board

SUPPLEMENTAL JOINT DEFERRED APPENDIX

C. D. Michel
Anna M. Barvir
MICHEL & ASSOCIATES, P.C.
180 East Ocean Blvd., Suite 200
Long Beach, CA 90802
Telephone: (562) 216-4444

Facsimile: (562) 216-4445 E-mail: cmichel@michellawyers.com

Counsel for Petitioner/Cross-Respondent

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Selected Pages from Transcript of Hearings	
Before Administrative Law Judge	
Clifford H. Anderson	JDA2053

USCA Case #15-1074 Document #1648939 Filed: 12/01/2016 Page 3 of 8 (1156)

- 1 A I believe he was city editor or maybe he had been promoted
- 2 to a news editor or associate editor, something like that.
- 3 Q Were you paid hourly by Santa Barbara News Press?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q And what was the last hourly rate you earned?
- 6 A \$25.94.
- 7 Q Did you receive merit wage increases during your
- 8 employment at Santa Barbara News Press?
- 9 A Yes, I did.
- 10 Q Did you have an understanding of how Santa Barbara News
- 11 Press granted these merit wage increases?
- 12 A Yes, I do.
- 13 Q And what was your understanding how Santa Barbara News
- 14 Press granted these merit wage increases?
- 15 A It was my understanding that the merit wage increases were
- 16 directly linked to your performance during that prior year.
- 17 Q Did you have any communications with any employer
- 18 representatives about how wage increases were tied to
- 19 performance?
- 20 A I'm sorry, did I have any?
- 21 Q Communications or discussions with any employer
- 22 representatives about how wage increases were tied to
- 23 performance?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q Okay. With whom did you speak?

- 1 A Joe Cole.
- 2 Q Who is Joe Cole?
- 3 A He was counsel for Ampersand as well as -- for some amount
- 4 of time, he was publisher.
- 5 Q Publisher of the Santa Barbara News Press?
- 6 A Of the Santa Barbara News Press.
- 7 Q Okay. And when did you have this communication with Mr.
- 8 Cole?
- 9 A Shortly after Ampersand bought the Santa Barbara News
- 10 Press, there was a staff meeting where he discussed this.
- 11 Q Okay. And when you say shortly after Ampersand bought the
- 12 paper, do you have a sense of what year that was?
- 13 A I believe it was in 2000.
- 14 Q Do you recall what part of that year?
- 15 A I don't, I'm sorry.
- 16 Q And I believe you testified there was some kind of
- 17 meeting. Who was present for this meeting?
- 18 A It was a staff meeting.
- 19 Q How many people were present?
- 20 A I'd say maybe 25, 30.
- 21 Q And where did this meeting take place?
- 22 A The third floor conference room at the News Press.
- JUDGE ANDERSON: When you say a staff meeting, a staff of
- 24 what organizational unit? It doesn't sound like it's everybody
- 25 at the paper, 25 or 30 people.

USCA Case #15-1074 Document #1648939 Filed: 12/01/2016 Page 5 of 8 (1159)

- 1 initiating explanation. In other words, this is the first
- 2 time, as I understood, that Ampersand -- the new owner is
- 3 explaining the new owner's practices rather than the old. So,
- 4 although it may be old, as Acheson said, "Present at the
- 5 Creation" is always an additional aspect of relevance. So I'll
- 6 hear it, objection's overruled.
- 7 MS. SILVERMAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 8 Q Do you recall the question?
- 9 A Can you please ask it again?
- 10 Q Who said what at this meeting that you were starting to
- 11 testify about?
- 12 A Okay.
- JUDGE ANDERSON: When she asks you that, she really wants
- 14 you to be a tape recorder and not an editor. Play back what ws
- 15 said, don't tell us what was in your mind or what you guessed
- 16 others thought, okay?
- 17 WITNESS: Okay.
- 18 JUDGE ANDERSON: Thank you. Please proceed.
- 19 WITNESS: Thank you.
- 20 A It was a staff meeting up on the third floor conference
- 21 room and I recall that Joe Cole was explaining that there would
- 22 no longer be 401K matches or pension plan under the new
- 23 ownership, and that what they were going to do was go to
- 24 performance based bonuses and raises, and that he -- that they
- 25 thought this would provide more incentive for the employees.

- 1 Q Did Mr. Cole explain how these bonuses and raises would be
- 2 tied to performance?
- 3 A He explained that there would be evaluations, which we had
- 4 prior to that, that we would continue the evaluations and that
- 5 it would be linked to the scores.
- 6 Q How long did this meeting last?
- 7 A I'd say maybe half an hour.
- 8 Q Did you have an understanding of how your performance
- 9 evaluation affected your merit wage increase?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q Okay. What was your understanding?
- 12 A That the higher the score I got on my performance
- 13 evaluation, the higher wage I would receive.
- 14 Q From 2000 until your discharge, how often did you receive
- 15 merit wage increases?
- 16 A Every year except for the last year.
- 17 Q And the last year was -- which year was that?
- 18 A I received no merit wage increase for performance during
- 19 2006.
- 20 Q During your employment with Santa Barbara News Press, were
- 21 you aware of a requirement that you submit a certain quantity
- 22 of stories?
- 23 A No.
- 24 Q Were you aware of a requirement that you needed to submit
- 25 at least one story per day?

- 1 A No.
- 2 Q You testified earlier a little bit about some experience
- 3 you had with investigative reporting. Can you tell us what
- 4 investigative reporting is?
- 5 MR. CAPPELLO: Objection, relevance.
- 6 JUDGE ANDERSON: Your theory, Counselor?
- 7 MS. SILVERMAN: One of the allegations in the complaint
- 8 deals with Mr. Robert Eringer, who was an investigative
- 9 reporter at the *News Press* and we're trying to establish the
- 10 Employer's past practice with respect to investigative
- 11 reporting.
- 12 JUDGE ANDERSON: So you want to establish definitions and
- 13 --
- 14 MS. SILVERMAN: And her familiarity with what
- 15 investigative reporting is.
- JUDGE ANDERSON: Very well, overruled. Don't hesitate to
- 17 have her repeat the questions.
- 18 WITNESS: Okay.
- 19 A Can you repeat?
- 20 Q Are you -- sorry. What is investigative reporting?
- 21 A There's basically three types of reporting. There's what
- 22 you can call press release journalism where you basically take
- 23 a press release and rewrite it. There's what is referred to as
- 24 surface reporting where you cover more of the who, what, and
- 25 where of a situation. And investigative reporting goes into

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on December 1, 2016, an electronic PDF of Supplemental Joint Deferred Appendix was uploaded to the Court's CM/ECF system, which will send notice of filing to counsel for all participants in the case who are registered CM/ECF users:

Ms. Linda Dreeben
Ms. Julie B. Broido
Mr. Micah Jost
National Labor Relations Board
1099 14th Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20570
appellatecourt@nlrb.gov
micah.jost@nlrb.gov

Counsel for Respondent National Labor Relations Board

Mr. Ira L. Gottlieb Bush Gottlieb 500 N. Central Ave, Suite 800 Glendale, CA 91203 igottlieb@bushgottlieb.com Counsel for Intervenor Graphics Communications Conference International Brotherhood of Teamsters

Date: December 1, 2016 **MICHEL & ASSOCIATES, P.C.**

/s/ C. D. Michel
C. D. Michel
Counsel for Petitioner/CrossRespondent